## Adjustments at High Incomes

## Current Minnesota Law

Minnesota's basic support table considers combined monthly income ranging from $\$ 0$ to $\$ 15,000$. When combined income exceeds $\$ 15,000$, Minn. Stat. section 518A. 35 states that the basic support obligation shall be as for parents with combined parental income of $\$ 15,000$ per month, however, the court may deviate from this amount if it is deemed appropriate under Minn. Stat. section 518A.43, (the section governing deviations from the guidelines).

Basic Support Obligations for Combined Monthly Income of \$15,000

| Combined <br> Income | One Child | Two <br> Children | Three <br> Children | Four <br> Children | Five <br> Children | Six Children |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 1,883$ | $\$ 2,727$ | $\$ 3,186$ | $\$ 3,571$ | $\$ 3,949$ | $\$ 4,319$ |

The current table uses a variety of economic models for combined incomes exceeding \$3,299 per month. According to a report prepared by Dr. Jane Venohr, the current table uses:

- Bettson-Rothbarth for incomes $\$ 3,300$ to $\$ 7,299$ per month
- Extrapolations from USDA for incomes \$8,400 to \$14,699 per month
- Bettson-Engel for incomes above $\$ 14,700$ per month

Minnesota IV-D Child Support Program Data on Range of Basic Support Obligations


Of the 113,531 cases with ongoing basic support:

- $16 \%$ at $\$ 1$ to $\$ 100$ per month ( 18,577 cases)
- $12 \%$ at $\$ 101$ to $\$ 200$ per month ( 13,473 cases)
- $14 \%$ at $\$ 201$ to $\$ 300$ per month ( 16,216 cases)
- $18 \%$ at $\$ 301$ to $\$ 400$ per month ( 20,944 cases)
- $14 \%$ at $\$ 401$ to $\$ 500$ per month ( 15,589 cases)
- $9 \%$ at $\$ 501$ to $\$ 600$ per month ( 10,272 cases)
- $6 \%$ at $\$ 601$ to $\$ 700$ per month ( 6,382 cases)
- $4 \%$ at $\$ 701$ to $\$ 800$ per month ( 4,028 cases)
- $2 \%$ at $\$ 801$ to $\$ 900$ per month ( 2,580 cases)
- $1 \%$ at $\$ 901$ to $\$ 1,000$ per month (1,640 cases)
- $1 \%$ at $\$ 1,001$ to $\$ 1,100$ per month ( 1,114 cases)
- $2 \%$ at $\$ 1,101$ per month and higher ( 2,716 cases)


## Census Data on Income Range of Minnesota Families

- Low Income Families (\$0.00-\$49,000.00 annually) constitute 29\%
- $3 \%$ at the $\$ 0.00-\$ 9,999.00$ range
- $8 \%$ at the $\$ 10,000.00-24,999.00$
- $18 \%$ at the $\$ 25,000.00-\$ 49,999.00$
- Middle Income Families (\$50,000.00-149,00.00 annually) constitute 56\%
- $19 \%$ at $\$ 50,000.00-74,999.00$
- $17 \%$ at $\$ 75,000.00-\$ 99,000.00$
- $20 \%$ at $100,000.00-\$ 149,999.00$
- High Income Families ( $\$ 150,000.00$ and up annually) constitute $15 \%$


## Other States' Approaches to Adjustments at High Incomes

- Most states, like Minnesota, provide for court discretion for combined incomes above the highest income considered in the basic support table and the amount cannot be less than the highest table amount.
- The highest monthly combined incomes in other states' tables range from \$10,000 net to \$35,000 gross.
- Economic data is reliable up to about $\$ 20,000$ net $/ \$ 30,000$ gross.
- 13 states provide a formula for infinitely high income
- Melson formula: DE, HI, MT
- Conventional income shares guidelines: IN, FL, PA, TN, VA, WV
- Other income shares guidelines: CA, MI, WY
- Percentage-of-obligor-income guidelines: WI


## Summary of Nearby States

| State | Guidelines Model | Gross or Net <br> Income | Highest Income <br> Considered in <br> Table | What Happens When <br> Income is in Excess of <br> Table |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Iowa | Income Shares | Net | $\$ 25,000$ per mo/ <br> $\$ 300,000$ per <br> year | Court's discretion |
| Michigan | Income Shares | Net | $\$ 10,000$ per mo/ <br> $\$ 120,000$ per <br> year | Formula or court's <br> discretion |
| Minnesota | Income Shares | Gross | $\$ 15,000$ per mo/ <br> $\$ 180,000$ per <br> year | Court's discretion |
| Montana | Melson Formula | Net | No cap | Formula |
| North Dakota | \% of Obligor <br> Income | Net | $\$ 10,000$ per mo/ <br> $\$ 120,000 ~ p e r ~$ | Court's discretion |
| South Dakota | Income Shares | Net | $\$ 30,000$ per mo/ | Court's discretion |

## Questions for Consideration

- Does the Task Force want to continue to have a cap on the highest amount of combined income considered?
- If the Task Force decides to keep a cap, should it change?
- If so, should the cap be increased or decreased?
- What should the economic basis of the higher incomes be?
- How should support be calculated when income is greater than cap?

