





Assisted Living Report Card Advisory Group

Monday, May 24, 2020, 1:30-3:00pm

Peter Spuit, MSW Home and Community Based Services Consultant Aging and Adult Services Division Minnesota Department of Human Services Tetyana Shippee, PhD Associate Professor Division of Health Policy and Management University of Minnesota School of Public Health

Agenda

- Welcome and introductions of any new attendees
- Summarize outcomes from our April 8 meeting
- Update on a review of Comprehensive Home Care Licensing Survey materials
- Discuss concepts and content for a possible, future Assisted Living Employee Survey
- Advisory Group Next Steps

Advisory Group member list

| Organization | Representative(s) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Minnesota Board on Aging | Susan Mezzenga |
| | Ann Thole |
| LeadingAge Minnesota | Kari Thurlow |
| | Jeff Bostic |
| Care Providers of Minnesota | Patti Cullen |
| | Todd Bergstrom |
| Minnesota Department of Health | Lindsey Krueger |
| Managed Care Organizations | Elizabeth Warfield (PrimeWest) |
| | Angie Kluempke (Medica) |

Advisory Group member list, continued

| Organization | Representative(s) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Minnesota Leadership Council on Aging | Adam Suomala |
| & Diverse Elders Coalition | |
| Minnesota Elder Justice Center | Sean Burke |
| Elder Voice Family Advocates | Kristine Sundberg |
| Ombudsman for Long Term Care | Genevieve Gaboriault |
| Stratis Health | Dr. Jane Pederson |
| Alzheimer's Association | Heidi Haley-Franklin |
| AARP Minnesota | Tom Rinkoski |

- Be engaged in the meeting, listen actively, and keep an open mind.
- Stay focused on the meeting agenda and the topics being covered.
- Give full attention to the person speaking.
- Be mindful of your level of contribution. Make sure there is enough time for others to provide input.
- Be understanding towards each other, and be respectful if you disagree with another person's perspective.
- Consider what would be best for the people we serve and for our state.

Summarize outcomes from April 8 meeting

Update on Comprehensive Home Care Licensing data review

Review and discuss tools to create an Assisted Living Employee Survey

Presenters: Tetyana Shippee, PhD Associate Professor

Odichinma Akosionu, MPH, PhD(c) Division of Health Policy and Management School of Public Health



Outcomes from April 8 Advisory Group meeting

1) Review and discussion of existing data sources to measure staff quality

2) Short discussion of possible future data sources to measure staff quality

3) Short update on Resident quality of life and family satisfaction survey pilot



Update on Comprehensive Home Care Licensing data review

Do any Home Care Survey items align with or have the potential to support staffing quality measures?

| Staffing Subdomain | Types of questions from Home Care Survey forms | How information is collected |
|---|--|--|
| Employee qualifications (staff training) | Describe system for completing annual training. Describe how competencies are documented and tracked in employee record. Who trains staff, etc. Annual training Training and competency evaluation | Free text fields Checklist indicating documents on file Checklist of evidence of training completed and evidence of demonstrated |
| | | competency |
| Consistent assignment (maybe address burnout/stress) | Describe staffing schedule Describe use of contracted unlicensed professional, licensed nursing staff and other licensed health care professionals. | Free text fields |
| Collaboration among staff | Describe your communication system for how the responsible person communicates to staff changes in clients' condition, medications, treatments, etc. | Free text fields |

Staffing domain instruments - Validation information

| Staffing sub-domain | Validation information |
|---|--|
| | Factor analysis, content experts(nurses, HR, social services providers) and field testing(NH |
| Person-Directed Care Measure (PDC) | and AL settings) |
| Individualized Care Instrument (ICI) | Factor analyses |
| Person-Centered Care Assessment Tool (P-CAT) | Psychometric evaluation (validity and reliability) |
| Person-Centered Climate Questionnaire-Staff | |
| (PCQ-S) | Validity and Reliability testing |
| Person-Directed Dementia Care Assessment | |
| ТооІ | N/A |
| Questionnaire of Person-Centered Practices in | Psychometric evaluation using standard techniques. Tested with AL community (19) |
| Assisted Living (PC-PAL) -Staff PC-PAL | residents and staff (350) |
| | The WSI was developed on data from 435 staff in 14 long-term care facilities. Tested for |
| Work Stressor Inventory (Dementia care) | validity, varied response distribution, high internal consistency. |
| | |
| Job Attitude Survey (JAS) | Psychometric evaluation- traditional test theory and Rasch analysis (validity and reliability) |
| "Staff experience with demented residents" | Tested for reliability and validity |
| | Validation: Criterion-related validity reported as .82; however, specific criterion used is |
| Perception of Empowerement (PEI) | unclear. Reliability: Internal consistency ranges from .80 to .87 for the subscales. |
| | Validity correlations between equivalent scales from another tested instrument (JDI) and |
| | the JSS© were significantly larger than zero and of reasonable magnitude. |
| Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS) | Internal consistency ranges from .60 .91 for subscales. |



Staffing domain instruments - Sub-domain crosswalk

| Chaffing such damain | DDC | | DCAT | | | | WSI | | | DEI | 100 | |
|---|-----|-----|-------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------------|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----|
| Staffing sub-domain | PDC | ICI | P-CAI | PLQ-S | PDDC-AI | Staff PC-PAL | (Dementia care) | JAS | "SEDP" | PEI | JSS | ITM |
| Employee qualifications (staff training) | х | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | |
| Consistent Assignment | | | | | Х | | Х | | | | | |
| Burnout/stress | Χ* | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | |
| Collaboration among staff | х | х | х | | х | х | X* | | х | | х | |
| Staff empowerment | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Job satisfaction | Х | Х | | Х | | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Resident-centered job satisfaction | х | х | х | х | х | х | Х | | х | | | |
| Supports (institutional, supervisor, emotional, coworker) | x | Х | х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | х | х | х | |
| Communication (among providers/direct care workers) | Х | х | Х | | Х | х | X* | Х | х | | х | |
| Close staff relationships | | х | | | X* | Х | Х | х | | | | |
| Staff retention | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Staff turnover | | | | | | | | | Х | | | Х |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| Employee qualifications (staff training) | I've received training that helps me assist residents according to their personal preferences and goals. |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|-----------------------|---|
| | |
| | |
| | Been given responsibilities that are not part of your job? |
| Consistent Assignment | |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|---------------------|---|
| | The environment feels chaotic. |
| | Not had enough staff to care for patients properly? |
| Burnout/stress | Not been able to get time off when you wanted it? |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|---------------------------|---|
| | |
| | The staff work well together. |
| Collaboration among staff | Do you feel you are working as part of a team ? |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| | I am involved in creating our vision of the future. |
| | My input is solicited in planning changes. |
| Staff empowerment | I can be creative in finding solutions to problems on the job. |
| Stan empowerment | reall be creative in finding solutions to problems on the job. |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|---------------------|--|
| | The benefits we receive are as good as most other organizations offer. I am not satisfied with the benefits I receive. |
| | I sometimes feel my job is meaningless. |
| | I like the people I work with. |
| | Overall, I am satisfied working here. |
| Job satisfaction | Do you enjoy coming to work? |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|------------------------------------|---|
| | I am able to provide the care a resident wants without having to ask my supervisor. |
| | This organization prevents me from providing person-centered care. |
| Resident-centered job satisfaction | Do you have the information you need to support client choices? |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|--|--|
| | Your supervisors concern about the welfare of those under him/her. |
| | Lack of support from your supervisor for what you need to do your job. |
| | Your supervisors having unrealistic expectations for your work. |
| Supports (institutional, supervisor, emotional, coworker) | The administrator and other leaders know me as an individual, and show that they care about my needs and well-being. |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|---|---|
| | Communications seem good within this organization. |
| Communication (among providers/direct care workers) | The information you get to do your job <u>[fill in the blank using a</u> <u>predetermined option].</u> |



| Staffing sub-domain | Example questions |
|---------------------------|--|
| | |
| | Polationships among caregivers and other staff are |
| Close staff relationships | Relationships among caregivers and other staff are valued. |



Questions for small group discussions

- What is your general reaction to the value of conducting a staff experience survey?
- What is your reaction to the polls?
- Are topics missing from subdomains and surveys?



- Continued focus on measure development related to staffing, safety, and resident health outcomes
- Next meeting: Late August or September
- Meeting materials and meeting notes will be posted to the project webpage: <u>www.mn.gov/dhs/assisted-living-report-card</u>
- Advisory Group questions or feedback: Peter Spuit, MSW
 <u>peter.spuit@state.mn.us</u>
 651.431.2593